Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/728,833	SCHUSTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamal A Fox	2664
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion:
(1) <u>Jamal A Fox</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian R. Harris</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2004	Time: <u>11:00 A.M. I</u>	<u>EST</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 7, 11, 14 and 19 Prior art documents discussed: Schuster et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,622) Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant to	ation. The examiner will provide separate record of the substance	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
1 1120		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Brian R. Harris agreed to include in all of the independent claims the limitations of wherein the selected one of the at least one service provider configuration includes a service provider proxy address associated with a service provider server operable to configure the data network telephone in accordance with a telephone service provider, and wherein the telephone configuration server provides the service provider proxy address to the at least one data network telephone in response to receiving the telephone part number from the data network telephone..